

Bulletin 133

Aviation Fuel Quality Requirements for Jointly Operated Systems

November 2020

(AFQRJOS): Issue 32 – Published 6th Nov 2020 / Updated 4th December 2020

AVIATION FUEL QUALITY REQUIREMENTS FOR JOINTLY OPERATED SYSTEMS

(AFQRJOS): Issue 32 – NOV 2020 (supersedes Issue 31 – NOV 2019)

This document defines the fuel quality requirements for supply into Jointly Operated Fueling Systems operated to JIG Standards. The Aviation Fuel Quality Requirements for Jointly Operated Systems (AFQRJOS) for Jet A-1 embodies the requirements of the following two specifications:

- (a) British Ministry of Defence Standard DEF STAN 91-091/Issue 12 14th September 2020 for Turbine Fuel, Kerosene Type, Jet A-1, NATO Code F-35, Joint Service Designation: AVTUR.
- (b) ASTM Standard Specification D1655 for Aviation Turbine Fuels "Jet A-1" (Latest issue).

Jet fuel that meets the AFQRJOS is usually referred to as "Jet A-1 to Check List" or "Check List Jet A-1" and, by definition, allows custodians of the fuel to supply against either of these specifications.

AFQRJOS is normally revised and published annually but if urgent issues need to be addressed it may be revised more frequently.

The main table requirements in IATA Guidance Material for Aviation Turbine Fuels Specifications (GM) are no longer part of the Check List because Part I of the IATA GM is now a guide to specifications rather than a specification itself. However, the water and dirt limits for fuel at the point of delivery into aircraft, which are embodied in Part III of the IATA GM, remain part of Check List.

The Aviation Fuel Quality Requirements for Jointly Operated Systems for Jet A-1 are defined in the following table, which should be read in conjunction with the Notes that follow the table. The Notes highlight some of the main issues concerning the specification parameters. Specifically of note, Issue 32 introduces the following changes:

- Introduction of maximum limits for particle counting and information that it is the Authority's intention to make it the referee method from April 2025 within Def Stan 91-091
- Suppression of IP 564 as an approved method for particle counting and addition of test method D7619: standard test method for sizing and counting particles in light and middle distillate fuels, by automatic particle counter
- Prohibition of the use of specific batches of suitable Fluorescent Indicator Dyed Gel when measuring Aromatic Content by ASTM D1319 or IP 156.
- Approval of co-processing of hydrocarbons derived from synthesis gas via the Fisher Tropsch process producing cohydroprocessed hydrocarbon synthetic kerosene as an acceptable process for jet fuel manufacture as controlled by Defence Standard 91-091 and ASTM D1655.
- Suppression of the bias for flash point measurement between IP 170 and ASTM D56
- Addition of Test Method D93 (Procedure A) Standard Test method for flash point measurement by Pensky-Martens close cup tester and D7236 standard test method for flash point by small scale closed cup tester (ramp method).

Clarification on the statements to be used when certifying fuel is detailed in Note 26, Conformance to AFQRJOS requires conformance to the detail of both specifications listed above, not just the following table. See Notes 26 for further guidance on statements declaring conformance to these specifications. Airports operated to JIG Standards may supply jet fuel to either of the parent specifications listed above provided the participants agree.

It should be specifically noted that DEF STAN 91-091/12 requires traceability of product to point of manufacture and requirements applicable to fuels containing synthetic or renewable components. See Annexes D and B of DEFSTAN 91-091/12 for more information.

Note: Although there has been wide acceptance by UK MOD for alternative jet fuels, before fuel containing synthetic components may be delivered to a NATO aircraft it shall be ascertained that the appropriate clearance document(s) permitting its use have been obtained according to contract. This may restrict supply of fuel containing synthetic components in some pipeline systems with direct connections to NATO storage locations.



Bulletin 133

Aviation Fuel Quality Requirements for Jointly Operated Systems

November 2020

(AFQRJOS): Issue 32 – Published 6th Nov 2020 / Updated 4th December 2020

JOINT FUELLING SYSTEM CHECK LIST FOR JET A-1

Issue 32 - November 2020

Supersedes Issue 31 - November 2019

Embodying the requirements of the following specifications for the grade shown:

- (a) British MoD DEF STAN 91-091/Issue 12, dated 14th September 2020, Jet A-1
- (b) ASTM D1655 Jet A-1 Latest Issue

Table 1 - Requirements

PROPERTY		LIMITS	TEST METHOD		REMARKS
			IP	ASTM	
APPEARANCE Visual appearance		Clear, bright and visually free from solid matter and un-dissolved water at ambient fuel temperature			
Colour		Report		D 156 or D 6045	See Note 1
Particulate contamination mg/L	max	1.0	423	D 5452	See Note 2
OR					
Particulate, cumulative channel particle or ISO Code & Individual Chann $\geq 4 \ \mu m(c)$ $\geq 6 \ \mu m(c)$ $\geq 14 \ \mu m(c)$ $\geq 21 \ \mu m(c)$ $\geq 25 \ \mu m(c)$ $\geq 30 \ \mu m(c)$		Channel counts/ ISO code Report / Max 19 Report / Max 17 Report / Max 14 Report Report Report / Max 13	565 or 577	D7619	See Note 2 See Note 3
COMPOSITION Total Acidity, mg KOH/g Aromatics, % v/v. OR Total Aromatics, % v/v Sulphur, Total, % m/m Sulphur, Mercaptan, % m/m OR Doctor Test Refinery Components at point of man Non Hydroprocessed Components, % v/v Mildly Hydroprocessed Components, % Severely Hydroprocessed Components, Synthetic Components, % v/v	//v	0.015 25.0 26.5 0.30 0.0030 Negative Report (incl. 'nii' or '100%') Report (incl. 'nii' or '100%') Report (incl. 'nii' or '100%') Report (incl. 'nii' or '50%')	354 156 436 336 342 30	D 3242 D 1319 D 6379 D 1266 or D 2622 D 3227 D 4952	See Note 4,5 See Note 6 or D 4294 or D 5453 See Note 7 See Note 8 See Note 4
INCIDENTAL MATERIALS					See Notes 9
VOLATILITY Distillation Initial Boiling Point, °C		Report	123	D 86	or D7345., See Note 10 See Note
Fuel Recovered 10% v/v at °C 50% v/v at °C 90% v/v at °C End Point, °C Residue, % v/v Loss. % v/v	max max	205.0 Report Report 300.0 1.5			Or IP 406 or D 2887, see Note 11
Flash Point, °C	max min	38.0	170 or 523	D 56 or D 3828	Or D93 (Procedure A) or
Density at 15°C, kg/m³		775.0 min to 840.0 max	160 or 365	D 1298 or D 4052	IP534 / D7236
FLUIDITY					
Freezing Point, °C	max	- 47.0	16 or 435 or 528 or 529	D 2386 or D 5972 or D 7153 or D 7154	See Notes 12 and 13
Viscosity at -20°C, mm ² /s (cSt)	max	8.000	71	D 445	D7945 or D7042, See Note 14



Bulletin 133

Aviation Fuel Quality Requirements for Jointly Operated Systems

November 2020

(AFQRJOS): Issue 32 – Published 6th Nov 2020 / Updated 4th December 2020

COMBUSTION			1		
Specific Energy, net, MJ/kg	min	42.80	12 or 355	D 3338 or D 4809	See Note 15
Smoke Point, mm	min	42.80 25.0	598	D 3336 01 D 4609	See Note 15 See Note 16
OR		20.0	000	2 1022	0001101010
Smoke Point, mm	min	18.0	598	D 1322	
AND Naphthalenes, % vol.	max	3.00		D 1840	
CORROSION					
CORROSION Corrosion, Copper strip, classification	max	1	154	D 130	
(2 hours +/- 5 min. at 100 °C +/- 1°C)	IIIax	I	154	D 130	
(2 Hours 17 6 Hills at 100 6 17 1 6)					
STABILITY					
Thermal Stability			323	D 3241	See Note 17
Control temperature, °C	min	260			
Filter Pressure Differential, mm Hg	max	25			
One of the following requirements shall be n (1) Annex B VTR	net:	Less than 3. no 'Peacock' or			
(I) Allilex B VIK		'Abnormal' colour deposits			
(2) Annex C ITR or Annex D ETR, average		/ Ibriorman delibar deposits			
over area of 2.5mm ² nm n	nax	85			
CONTAMINANTS					
Existent Gum, mg/100ml	max	7	540	D 381	
Missessessesses (MSER) retire				D 3948	See Note 18
Microseparometer (MSEP), rating Fuel with Static Dissipator Additive	min	70		D 3946	See Note 16
OR		70			
Fuel without Static Dissipator Additive	min	85			
•					
CONDUCTIVITY					
Electrical Conductivity, pS/m		50 min to 600 max	274	D 2624	See Note 19
LUBRICITY					
BOCLE wear scar diameter, mm	max	0.85		D 5001	See Note 20
BOOLE wear soar diameter, min	max	0.03		D 3001	OCC NOIC 20
ADDITIVES (Names and approval code from DEF				1	
STAN 91-091/12 should be quoted on quality					
certificates).					
Australians					One Nets Of
Antioxidant, mg/l in final batch (Optional)	max	24.0			See Note 21 See Note 22
iii iiiai batcii (Optioliai)	IIIGA	24.0			OCC NOIC 22
Metal Deactivator, mg/l (Optional) *	max				
First Doping		2.0			See Note 23
Cumulative concentration after field re-dopin	g	5.7			
Static Dissipator, mg/l *	max				
First Doping	пαλ	3.0			
Cumulative concentration after field re-dopin	ıg	5.0			
Antioxidants are still mandatory for synthetic fuels	and sh a	all be added prior to or during release			•
from the designated manufacturing site of the AST	M D756	66 component.			ves used shall be shown on the
First Contact International Contact and Co			original Certificates of Quality and on all other quality documents when they are added downstream of the point of manufacture. When additives are diluted (with hydrocarbon solvent only) to improve handling properties prior to		
Fuel System Icing Inhibitor is not permitted unless agreed by all the participants in a joint system (see also Note 24).					
(see also Note 24).					
addition, it is the concentration of active ingredient that shall be reported See Annex A of DEF STAN 91-091 for detailed advice.					
joint system participants (see also Note 20)			555.1S.(Not BE) 51/11/51 551 for detailed advice.		
John Oyston partispante (coo also Note 20)		See Note 25 about requirements for management of change in refineries.			
					unknown, it has to be assumed
			tnat first doping w	as applied at maximum	dose rate.



Bulletin 133

Aviation Fuel Quality Requirements for Jointly Operated Systems

November 2020

(AFQRJOS): Issue 32 – Published 6th Nov 2020 / Updated 4th December 2020

Main Table Notes

- 1. The requirement to report Saybolt Colour shall apply at point of manufacture, Unusual or atypical colours should also be noted and investigated. For further information on the significance of colour see Annex F in DEF STAN 91-091/12.
- 2. This limit shall apply at point of manufacture only. To meet the requirements of this standard the limits of either particulate contamination or particulate counts shall be met and it is only necessary to report whichever property is being used to support release of the fuel. It is the Specification Authority's intention to replace gravimetric Millipore test with Particle counting from April 2025. For more information on particulate contamination refer to Annex F of DEF STAN 91-091 Issue 12. For guidance on contamination limits for into-plane fuelling refer to 7th Edition IATA Guidance Material (Part III).
- 3. The number of particles and the number of particles as a scale number as defined by Table 1 of ISO 4406 shall be reported where this method is being used to release the fuel (see also Note 2). If limits are exceeded, Annex B of IP 565 or IP 577 or Annex X2 of D7619 may be applied to eliminate trace free water, and cleanliness re-determined. In such cases, results before and after application of annex shall be reported.
- 4. Attention is drawn to DEF STAN 91-091 Issue 12 which approves both Semi-Synthetic and Fully Synthetic Jet Fuel produced by SASOL. It also approves all the generic components listed in the Annexes of ASTM D7566. For these fuels, additional testing requirements apply, and reference should be made to DEF STAN 91-091/12 Annex B. These semi- and fully synthetic fuels may be certified against this Issue of Check List
- 5. The Coprocessing of mono-, di- and triglycerides, free fatty acids and fatty acid esters and the Coprocessing of hydrocarbons derived from synthesis gas via Fisher Tropsch process have been approved in Defence Standard 91-091 Issue 12 in alignment with ASTM D1655. The requirements for coprocessing are detailed in Annex B4 of Defence Standard 91-091 Issue 12 and Annex A1.1.2.2 of ASTM D1655.
 - The Refinery Certificate of Quality (RCQ) shall include wording to reflect that the batch may contain up to 5 % by volume co-hydroprocessed synthesized kerosene.
- 6. Round robin testing has demonstrated the correlation between total aromatics content measured by IP 156/ASTM D 1319 and IP 436/ASTM D 6379. Bias between the two methods necessitates different equivalence limits as shown. Testing laboratories are encouraged to measure and report total aromatics content by the two methods to assist verification of the correlation. In cases of dispute IP 156 / ASTM D 1319 shall be the referee method. It is the intention of the DEF STAN 91-091 Technical Authority to change the referee method to IP 436 at a later date.
 - The proprietary dye necessary to conduct that IP156/ ASTM D1319 is no longer available. In addition, recently delivered supplies of the product gel containing the dye with lot numbers 3000000975 and above were produced with a substitute dye that is unfortunately not suitable and will not provide accurate measurements of aromatic concentration if utilised. Given the above, if IP 156 or ASTM D1319 is used for analysis of Aromatics, the lot number of the gel used shall be reported on the test certificate. A ruggedness study has shown that subsequent batches of the dye, with a lot number of 3000000983 or greater are comparable with the lots prior to 3000000975. ILS is expected to corroborate these results.



Bulletin 133

Aviation Fuel Quality Requirements for Jointly Operated Systems

November 2020

(AFQRJOS): Issue 32 – Published 6th Nov 2020 / Updated 4th December 2020

When the aromatic level is needed to be determined, Jet A-1 fuel will only meet the aviation fuel operating limitations of airplanes certificated to operate on Jet A-1 fuel and the requirements of Def Stan 91-091 if:

1) the fuel has been tested for aromatics concentration in accordance with ASTM D1319/IP156 with a dye other than from lot number 3000000975 through 3000000982

or

2) the fuel has been tested for aromatics concentration in accordance with the alternative test methods ASTM D6379/ IP436.

No other alternative test method, or method of deriving the aromatic content, is acceptable.

- 7. The Doctor Test is an alternative requirement to the Sulphur Mercaptan Content. In the event of conflict between the Sulphur Mercaptan and Doctor Test results, the Sulphur Mercaptan result shall prevail.
- **8.** The need to report the %v/v of non hydroprocessed, mildly hydroprocessed, severely hydroprocessed and synthetic components (including "nil", "50%" or "100%" as appropriate) on Refinery Certificates of Quality for Jet A-1 to Check List derives from DEF STAN 91-091/12. Each of the defined refinery components used in the make-up of the batch shall be reported on the certificate of quality as a percentage by volume of the total fuel in the batch.
- 9. Table 2 Incidental materials

Material	Maximum permitted level	Detection Level	Test methods
Fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) ^{a,b,c,}	50 mg/kg		D7797/IP583, IP585 ^d , IP590, IP599
Pipeline Drag Reducer (DRA) ^a	Nil	72 μg/l ^{e,f}	D7872

- a) Post manufacture each custodian shall undertake a risk assessment to quantify the potential risk of incidental material carry over. Where such assessments indicate that there could be a potential risk in jet fuel supplies, additional quality assurance procedures shall be introduced to increase control in order to mitigate the risk. Where the risk of incidental material carryover exists and it is not possible to control with additional quality assurance procedures, testing shall be instigated.
- b) For the purposes of meeting this requirement, FAME is defined as material meeting the limits of EN14214 or ASTM D6751. Fatty acid methyl esters that fail to meet biodiesel standards are not permitted in jet fuel.
- c) On an emergency basis, up to 100 mg/kg FAME is permitted in jet fuel when authorised by the airframe and engine manufacturers and managed in compliance with airframe and engine requirements. For Military purposes an emergency basis can be defined as an unexpected and unforeseen situation that requires prompt action. For example, where FAME contamination has been introduced into part of an airport distribution system where it cannot be quickly segregated or isolated for remediation without halting airport refuelling operations. All such instances should be raised through the procurement Authority, Duty Holder or Aircraft Operator. For commercial operators refer to SAIB NE-09-25R2 dated May 19, 2016, which provides corrective actions and procedures to be followed in the event of FAME contamination.



Bulletin 133

Aviation Fuel Quality Requirements for Jointly Operated Systems

November 2020

(AFQRJOS): Issue 32 – Published 6th Nov 2020 / Updated 4th December 2020

- d) Test method IP585 shall be the referee method.
- e) DRA is not an approved additive for jet fuel at any concentration. Dilution of fuels with known levels of DRA is not permitted, even to levels below the level stated in table 2. Where the level of DRA is otherwise unknown a result at or below the level in table 2 would support an assumption of nil addition
- f) There is no need to report the DRA level at the point of manufacture. However, DRA content testing is required as part of a Risk Assessment where DRA is or is to be added into other products in a multiproduct pipeline system which is also transporting jet fuel.
- **10.** In methods IP 123 and ASTM D 86 all fuels certified to this specification shall be classed as group 4, with a condenser temperature of zero to 4°C. Where ASTM D 7345 is used, results shall be corrected for relative bias as described in the test method.
- **11.** If IP 406 or ASTM D 2887 are used to produce IP123 equivalent or ASTM D 86 correlated data, there is no requirement to report residue or loss.
- 12. These automatic methods are permitted; IP 16/ASTM D 2386 remains the referee method.
- 13. During downstream distribution if the freezing point of the fuel is very low and cannot be reported when measured by IP 16 the limit is max -65 degrees C. If no crystals appear during cooling of the fuel and when the thermometer indicates a temperature of -65°C, the freezing point shall be recorded as below -65°C. This limit does not apply if the freezing point is measured by IP435/ASTM D5972, IP 529/ASTM D7153, IP528 or ASTM D7154.
- **14.** Test method ASTM D 7042 results shall be converted to bias-corrected kinematic viscosity results as described in the precision and bias section of ASTM D7042.
- 15. ASTM D 4529/IP 381 may be used where local regulations permit.
- **16.** The IP 598 test for smoke point includes both the standard manual method and an automatic method, with the automated method in IP 598 being the referee method.
- 17. The annexes referred to in the Table 1 and this note correspond to those in IP323. If the technically equivalent ASTM D3241 test method is used, the same protocol shall be followed using the appropriate annex that corresponds to the visual (VTR), interferometric (ITR) or ellipsometric (ETR) method. Tube deposit ratings shall be measured by IP323 Annex C ITR or Annex D ETR, when available. If the Annex C ITR device reports "N/A" for a tube's volume measurement, the test shall be a failure and the value reported as >85 nm. Visual rating of the heater tube shall be by the method in IP323 Annex B is not required when Annex C ITR or Annex D ETR deposit thickness measurements are reported. In case of dispute between results from visual and metrological methods, the metrological method shall be considered the referee.
 - Examination of the heater tube to determine the Visual Tube Rating using the Visual Tube Rater or deposit thickness by ETR or ITR shall be carried out within 120 minutes of completion of the test.
- **18.** Water separation property testing by ASTM D3948 is a mandatory requirement only at point of manufacture. Note that neither of the primary Standards mandate the testing of water separation properties downstream of the point of manufacture. Where it is required by JIG Standards for the purposes of product quality management, the following methods and limits should apply:



Bulletin 133

Aviation Fuel Quality Requirements for Jointly Operated Systems

November 2020

(AFQRJOS): Issue 32 – Published 6th Nov 2020 / Updated 4th December 2020

Table 3 -. Water Separation Limits Downstream of Point of Manufacture

Test Method	Limits
ASTM D7224	85 min
ASTM D8073	88 min

Alternatively, testing may also be conducted using ASTM D3948 (still the intent of JIG to withdraw this method in the future). For further information on water separation testing refer to JIG Bulletin 129-Testing Water Separation Properties of Jet Fuel (Revised MSEP Protocol)

This protocol is also referenced in Note 18 of Def Stan 91-091/12.

- 19. Due to the requirements of DEF STAN 91-091/12, conductivity limits are mandatory for product to meet this specification. However, it is acknowledged that in some manufacturing and distribution systems it is more practical to inject SDA further downstream. In such cases, the Certificate of Quality for the batch should be annotated thus: "Product meets the requirements of Defence Standard 91-091/12 except for electrical conductivity". In some situations, the conductivity can decrease rapidly, and the fuel can fail to respond to additional dosing with Static Dissipator Additive(s). In such cases, fuel may be released with conductivity down to a minimum of 25pS/m provided that the fuel is fully tested against the specification and the Tank Release Note is annotated with the explanation "Product released below 50pS/m due to conductivity loss as per Annex F of DEFSTAN 91-091/12".
- 20. This requirement comes from DEF STAN 91-091/12. The requirement to determine lubricity applies only to fuels whose composition is made up of a) at least 20% of severely hydroprocessed components and less than 5% non-hydroprocessed components or b) includes synthetic fuel components. The limit applies only at the point of manufacture. For important advisory information on the lubricity of aviation turbine fuels see Annex F of DEF STAN 91-091/12. LIA additive (also known as LIA) may be used to improve lubricity; only those additives listed in Table 2 of ASTM D1655 / Annex A of DEF STAN 91-091/12 are permitted. Refer also to Appendix A.5 of DEF STAN 91-091/12 for advice on point of addition. When injecting LIA downstream of point of manufacture, care shall be taken to ensure that maximum dose rates are not exceeded.
- **21.** The use of anti-oxidant is optional.
- 22. If it is added the maximum limit is 24 mg/l. Approved antioxidant additives are listed in Annex A.2.5 of DEF STAN 91-091/12, together with the appropriate RDE/A/XXX- Qualification Reference for quoting on Refinery Certificates of Quality or Certificates of Analysis.
- 23. The approved Metal Deactivator Additive (MDA), RDE/A/650 appears in Annex A.3 of DEF STAN 91-091/12 Annex A3.1 of DEF STAN 91-091/12 contains restrictions on the use of MDA at the point of manufacture and directs the producer to the reporting requirements when MDA is used at the point of manufacture. Note that routine use of MDA (>5% of batches) at the point of manufacture is not permitted. The use of MDA at the point of manufacture is limited to 2.0 mg/l, except when copper contamination within the supply chain is known. See also Annex A.3.1 for the use of MDA in the supply chain, which includes the need to report thermal stability before and after MDA use.
- 24. Concentrations of Fuel System Icing Inhibitor (FSII) less than 0.02% by volume may be considered negligible and do not require agreement/notification. The assent to allow these small quantities of FSII without agreement/notification is to facilitate the changeover from fuels containing FSII to those not containing FSII where the additive may remain in the



Bulletin 133

Aviation Fuel Quality Requirements for Jointly Operated Systems

November 2020

(AFQRJOS): Issue 32 – Published 6th Nov 2020 / Updated 4th December 2020

fuel system for a limited time. This does not permit the continuous addition of FSII at these low concentrations. Attention is drawn to the note in Annex A.6 in DEF STAN 91-091/12 highlighting that filter monitors cannot be used with fuel containing FSII.

- **25.** Attention is drawn to the guidance in DEF STAN 91-091/12 and ASTM D1655 concerning the need for appropriate management of change measures in refineries manufacturing jet fuel. The implications of any changes to feedstock, processing conditions or process additives on finished product quality and performance shall be considered (for example, experience has shown that some process additives might be carried over in trace quantities into aviation fuels).
- **26.** Test certificates shall state conformance to a primary specification. Checklist is not a specification and manufacturing locations shall not release fuel only to Checklist. If reference to Checklist is to be made the following statement should be used if the fuel meets the requirements of this bulletin.

"It is certified that the samples have been tested using the Test Methods stated and that the Batch represented by the samples conforms with DEF STAN 91-091 Issue 12 and AFQRJOS Checklist Issue 32".

"It is certified that the samples have been tested using the Test Methods stated and that the Batch represented by the samples conforms with ASTM D1655 Jet A-1 and AFQRJOS Checklist Issue 32".

The minimum requirements of information to be included on the fuel's refinery certificate of quality shall be:

- Specification name, issue and any amendment number;
- Name, telephone number, fax number, email address and postal address of testing laboratory;
- Tank Number;
- Batch number or unique identifier;
- Quantity of fuel in the batch;
- Properties tested and including specification limit, test method and result of test;
- Additives, including qualification reference and quantity added;
- Name and position of authorised test certificate signatory or an electronic signature;
- Date of certification.

Actions to Implement this Bulletin (See Table 2 for Action Type Codes)

Action Description	Action Type	Target Completion Date
	.,,,,	completion bute



Bulletin 133

Aviation Fuel Quality Requirements for Jointly Operated Systems

November 2020

(AFQRJOS): Issue 32 – Published 6th Nov 2020 / Updated 4th December 2020

referring to JIG AFQRJOS Checklist shall implement JIG AFQRJOS Issue 32, with an implementation date no later than 14 th December2020.

Table 2 Action Type Codes

Action Types	JIG Bulletin Action Type Definition
JS	Change to JIG Standard – to be adopted by JV and/or Operator to continue to meet the JIG Standard(s) (JIG 1, 2, 4, EI/JIG 1530 and the JIG HSSE Management System).
RA	Required Action to implement one off verification or checks outlined in the table of actions.
RP	JIG Recommended Practice which the JV should consider adopting as its own practice (**).
1	Issued for information purposes only.

Note (**) - If the JV agreements require any of the JIG Standards and/or any of the JIG Common Processes as the governing operational standard then adoption of changes to applicable JIG Standards and/or Common Processes should not be considered optional by the JV Board.

<u>Note:</u> This document is intended for the guidance of Members of JIG and companies affiliated with Members of JIG and does not preclude the use of any other operating procedures, equipment or inspection procedures.

The information contained in this publication is subject to constant review in the light of changing government requirements and regulations. Although efforts are made to keep this information up-to-date, accurate, complete, and free from error, we make no representation, warranty or guarantee, whether express or implied, that the information is up-to-date, accurate, complete, free from error, or in compliance with applicable law and regulations. No subscriber or other reader should act on the basis of any such information without referring to applicable laws and regulations and/or without taking appropriate professional advice. None of JIG, its Members, the Companies affiliated with its Members accepts responsibility for any loss or damage, whether in contract, tort (including negligence), breach of statutory duty, or otherwise, even if foreseeable, arising under or in connection with your use, adoption or reliance on the information in this document. You use this information at your own risk, but for the full terms and conditions concerning use of this document, please refer to http://www.jigonline.com/legal-and-copyright/

JIG is the owner of the copyright and all intellectual property rights in the publication. IATA uses such rights with permission from JIG.